arXiv:0710.3053v1 [math-ph] 16 Oct 2007

Group Analysis of Variable Coefficient Diffusion–Convection Equations. III. Conservation Laws

N.M. Ivanova †, **R.O. Popovych** ‡ **and C. Sophocleous** §

†‡ *Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine*
e-mail: ivanova@imath.kiev.ua, rop@imath.kiev.ua

‡ *Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Nordbergstraße 15, A-1090 Wien, Austria*

§ *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cyprus, CY 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus*
e-mail: christod@ucy.ac.cy

The notions of generating sets of conservation laws of systems of differential equations with respect to symmetry groups and equivalence groups are introduced and applied. This allows us to generalize essentially the procedure of finding potential symmetries for the systems with multidimensional spaces of conservation laws. A class of variable coefficient (1+1)-dimensional nonlinear diffusion–convection equations of general form $f(x)u_t = (g(x)A(u)u_x)_x + h(x)B(u)u_x$ is investigated. Using the most direct method, we carry out two classifications of local conservation laws up to equivalence relations generated by both usual and enhanced equivalence groups. Equivalence with respect to $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ and correct choice of gauge coefficients of equations play the major role for simple and clear formulation of the final results. The notion of contractions of conservation laws and one of characteristics of conservation laws are introduced and contractions of conservation laws of diffusion–convection equations are found.

1 Introduction

The presented paper continues the series of works on modern trends of group analysis illustrated on example of nonlinear variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations

$$f(x)u_t = (g(x)A(u)u_x)_x + h(x)B(u)u_x \tag{1}$$

started in [14, 15]. Here $f = f(x)$, $g = g(x)$, $h = h(x)$, $A = A(u)$ and $B = B(u)$ are arbitrary smooth functions of their variables, $f(x)g(x)A(u)\neq 0$.

In the first two parts of the presented series we have shown an importance of detailed study of different kinds of equivalence transformations for solving the group classification problem and some its applications. In particular, we investigated equivalence groups (usual and extended ones), discussed their structure and performed the complete group classification of class (1). We determine that the complete usual equivalence group $G^{\sim}$ of class (1) consists of the transformations

$$\tilde t = \delta_1 t + \delta_2, \quad \tilde x = X(x), \quad \tilde u = \delta_3 u + \delta_4,$$
$$\tilde f = \frac{\varepsilon_1\delta_1}{X_x} f, \quad \tilde g = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2^{-1}X_x\, g, \quad \tilde h = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_3^{-1}h, \quad \tilde A = \varepsilon_2 A, \quad \tilde B = \varepsilon_3 B,$$

where δ_j $(j = \overline{1,4})$ and ε_i $(i = \overline{1,3})$ are arbitrary constants, $\delta_1\delta_3\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 \neq 0$, X is an arbitrary smooth function of x, $X_x \neq 0$.

It appears that class (1) admits further equivalence transformations which do not belong to $G^{\sim}$ and depend on arbitrary elements in some non-fixed (possibly, nonlocal) way. We have constructed the complete in this sense extended equivalence group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ of class (1), using the direct method. $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ is formed by the transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{t} = \delta_1 t + \delta_2, \quad \tilde{x} = X(x), \quad \tilde{u} = \delta_3 u + \delta_4,\\
&\tilde{f} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 \delta_1 \varphi}{X_x} f, \quad \tilde{g} = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2^{-1} X_x \varphi\, g, \quad \tilde{h} = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3^{-1} \varphi\, h, \quad \tilde{A} = \varepsilon_2 A, \quad \tilde{B} = \varepsilon_3 (B + \varepsilon_4 A),
\end{aligned}$$

where δ_j $(j = \overline{1,4})$ and ε_i $(i = \overline{1,4})$ are arbitrary constants, $\delta_1 \delta_3 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \neq 0$, X is an arbitrary smooth function of x, $X_x \neq 0$, $\varphi = e^{-\varepsilon_4 \int \frac{h(x)}{g(x)} dx}$.

It is shown that application of extended equivalence group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ is of vital importance for obtaining group classification in closed explicit form [13, 14]. Likewise we state below the necessity of application of equivalence transformations to investigation of conservation laws. More precisely, it will be shown that classification of conservation laws of equations (1) with respect to the usual equivalence group $G^{\sim}$ can be formulated in an implicit form only. At the same time, using the extended equivalence group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$, we can present the result of classification in a closed and simple form with a smaller number of inequivalent equations having nontrivial conservation laws.

Using the gauge equivalence transformation $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = \int \frac{dx}{g(x)}$, $\tilde{u} = u$ we can reduce equation (1) to

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} = (A(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}})_{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{h}(\tilde{x}) B(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}},$$

where $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = g(x) f(x)$, $\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}) = 1$ and $\tilde{h}(\tilde{x}) = h(x)$. That is why, without loss of generality we can restrict ourselves to investigation of the equation

$$f(x) u_t = (A(u) u_x)_x + h(x) B(u) u_x. \tag{2}$$

Any transformation from $\hat{G}^{\sim}$, which preserves the condition $g = 1$, has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{t} = \delta_1 t + \delta_2, \quad \tilde{x} = \delta_5 \textstyle\int e^{\delta_8 \int h} dx + \delta_6, \quad \tilde{u} = \delta_3 u + \delta_4,\\
&\tilde{f} = \delta_1 \delta_5^{-1} \delta_9 f e^{-2\delta_8 \int h}, \quad \tilde{h} = \delta_9 \delta_7^{-1} h e^{-\delta_8 \int h},\\
&\tilde{A} = \delta_5 \delta_9 A, \quad \tilde{B} = \delta_7 (B + \delta_8 A),
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where δ_i $(i = \overline{1,9})$ are arbitrary constants, $\delta_1 \delta_3 \delta_5 \delta_7 \delta_9 \neq 0$. (Here and below $\int h = \int h(x)\, dx$.) The set $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$ of such transformations is a subgroup of $\hat{G}^{\sim}$.

Result of group classification allows us to construct a number of exact solutions of different equations from class (1). In the second part [15] of the series we have used two different approaches for finding exact solutions: direct application of Lie (conditional and generalized conditional) symmetries and reconstruction of new solutions by acting on the known ones with additional equivalence transformations.

After analyzing the obtained results it appears that some of the previously classified equations can be regarded as limiting cases of the other ones, that leads to the natural notion of contractions of (systems of) equations introduced in the second part [15] of the series. Ibid we investigated contractions of equations from class (1).

These ideas can be generalized for investigation of conservation laws of systems of differential equations. Thus, e.g., there exists a close similarity between characteristics of conservation laws and symmetries that leads to the natural notions of contractions of characteristics and conservation laws that will be considered below. These notions are illustrated by example of conservation laws of equations (1).

Similarly to the group classification problem, we show that the complete description of the spaces of conservation laws of equations (1) is impossible without essential usage of (usual and extended) equivalence transformations. Investigation of such equivalences allows us to simplify essentially technical calculations and to obtain results in closed explicit form. Equivalence with respect to the extended equivalence group and correct choice of gauge coefficients of equations allow us to obtain clear formulation of the final results. Note that for wide classes of nonlinear equations, this is the only way to obtain the complete description of spaces of conservation laws (see, e.g., [12, 13, 26] for detailed analysis of application of such equivalences for finding conservation laws of different classes of diffusion-type equations).

The notion of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to a group of transformations which was introduced in [26] can be generalized in several directions: classification of pairs "system + space of conservation laws"; classification of conservation laws for a given system with respect to its symmetry group; classification of pairs "system + a conservation law". Such classification schemes are helpful both for ordering and deeper understanding of the found conservation laws and for further applications. For instance, investigation of different generating sets of conservation laws of equations (1) allows us to close the "blank spot" in theory of potential symmetries. Namely, previously, for construction of simplest potential systems in cases when the dimension of the space of local conservation laws is greater then one, only basis conservation laws were used. However, the basis conservation laws may be equivalent with respect to groups of symmetry transformations, or vice versa, the number of $G^{\sim}$-independent linear combinations of conservation laws may be grater then dimension of the space of conservation laws. The first possibility leads to an unnecessary, often cumbersome, investigation of equivalent systems, the second one makes possible missing a great number of inequivalent potential systems. Below we show how to choose conservation laws in order to obtain all possible inequivalent potential systems associated to the given system.

In Section 2 we adduce basic definitions and statements on conservation laws. An important notion of characteristics of conservation laws is discussed in Section 3. Following the spirit of [26] we repeat a definition of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to a transformation group in Section 4. In Section 4 we introduce also the notions of generating sets of conservation laws with respect to symmetry groups and with respect to equivalence groups. After that (Section 5) two different classifications of conservation laws of equations (1) are presented, namely, classification with respect to the usual equivalence group and one with respect to the extended equivalence group. Next (Section 6), we introduce the notions of contractions conservation laws and ones of characteristics of conservation laws and give some examples of the contractions in class (1). Generating sets of conservation laws of diffusion–convection equations (1) are investigated in Section 7. Such investigation form a basis for finding all possible inequivalent potential systems of equations (1) presented in Section 9. In Section 8 we discuss shortly potential symmetries and potential conservation laws in general case and in case of systems with two independent variables. Potential conservation laws of equations (1) are investigated in Section 10.

A detailed investigation of potential symmetries obtained from the constructed potential systems will be performed in the last part [16] of this series.

2 Basic definitions and statements on conservation laws

In this and next two sections we give basic definitions and statements on conservation laws and formulate the notion of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to equivalence groups, which was first introduced in [26] and some of its generalizations. This notion is a basis for modification of the direct method of construction of conservation laws, which is applied in Section 5 for exhaustive classification of local conservation laws equations from class (1).

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a system $L(x, u_{(\rho)}) = 0$ of l differential equations $L^1 = 0, \ldots, L^l = 0$ for m unknown functions $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^m)$ of n independent variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Here $u_{(\rho)}$ denotes the set of all the derivatives of the functions u with respect to x of order no greater than ρ, including

u as the derivatives of the zero order. Let $\mathcal{L}_{(k)}$ denote the set of all algebraically independent differential consequences that have, as differential equations, orders not greater than k. We identify $\mathcal{L}_{(k)}$ with the manifold determined by $\mathcal{L}_{(k)}$ in the jet space $J^{(k)}$.

Definition 1. A *conserved vector* of the system $\mathcal{L}$ is an n-tuple $F = (F^1(x, u_{(r)}), \dots, F^n(x, u_{(r)}))$ for which the divergence $\operatorname{Div} F = D_i F^i$ vanishes for all solutions of $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. $\operatorname{Div} F\big|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$).

In Definition 1 and below $D_i = D_{x_i}$ denotes the operator of total differentiation with respect to the variable x_i, i.e., $D_i = \partial_{x_i} + u^a_{\alpha,i}\partial_{u^a_\alpha}$, where u^a_α and $u^a_{\alpha,i}$ stand for the variables in jet spaces, which correspond to derivatives $\partial^{|\alpha|}u^a/\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\dots\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\partial u^a_\alpha/\partial x_i$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. We use the summation convention for repeated indices and assume any function as its zero-order derivative. The notation $V\big|_{\mathcal{L}}$ means that values of V are considered only on solutions of the system $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition 2. A conserved vector F is called *trivial* if $F^i = \hat{F}^i + \check{F}^i$, $i = \overline{1,n}$, where $\hat{F}^i$ and $\check{F}^i$ are, likewise F^i, functions of x and derivatives of u (i.e., differential functions), $\hat{F}^i$ vanish on the solutions of $\mathcal{L}$ and the n-tuple $\check{F} = (\check{F}^1, \dots, \check{F}^n)$ is a null divergence (i.e., its divergence vanishes identically).

The triviality concerning the vanishing conserved vectors on solutions of the system can be easily eliminated by confining on the manifold of the system, taking into account all its necessary differential consequences. A characterization of all null divergences is given by the following lemma (see e.g. [23]).

Lemma 1. *The n-tuple $F = (F^1, \dots, F^n)$, $n \geq 2$, is a null divergence ($\operatorname{Div} F \equiv 0$) iff there exist smooth functions v^{ij} ($i, j = \overline{1,n}$) of x and derivatives of u, such that $v^{ij} = -v^{ji}$ and $F^i = D_j v^{ij}$.*

The functions v^{ij} are called *potentials* corresponding to the null divergence F. If $n = 1$ any null divergence is constant.

Definition 3. Two conserved vectors F and F' are called *equivalent* if the vector-function $F' - F$ is a trivial conserved vector.

The above definitions of triviality and equivalence of conserved vectors are natural in view of the usual "empiric" definition of conservation laws of a system of differential equations as divergences of its conserved vectors, i.e., divergence expressions which vanish for all solutions of this system. For example, equivalent conserved vectors correspond to the same conservation law. It allows us to formulate the definition of conservation law in a rigorous style (see, e.g., [28]). Namely, for any system $\mathcal{L}$ of differential equations the set $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$ of its conserved vectors is a linear space, and the subset $\mathrm{CV}_0(\mathcal{L})$ of trivial conserved vectors is a linear subspace in $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$. The factor space $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})/\mathrm{CV}_0(\mathcal{L})$ coincides with the set of equivalence classes of $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to the equivalence relation adduced in Definition 3.

Definition 4. The elements of $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ are called *conservation laws* of the system $\mathcal{L}$, and the whole factor space $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ is called *the space of conservation laws* of $\mathcal{L}$.

That is why we assume description of the set of conservation laws as finding $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ which is equivalent to construction of either a basis if $\dim \mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L}) < \infty$ or a system of generatrices in the infinite dimensional case. The elements of $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$ which belong to the same equivalence class giving a conservation law $\mathcal{F}$ are considered all as conserved vectors of this conservation law, and we will additionally identify elements from $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ with their representatives in $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$. For $F \in \mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ the notation $F \in \mathcal{F}$ will denote that F is a conserved vector corresponding to the conservation law $\mathcal{F}$. In contrast to the order r_F of a conserved vector F as the maximal order of derivatives explicitly appearing in F, the *order of the conservation law $\mathcal{F}$*

is called $\min\{r_F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Under linear dependence of conservation laws we understand linear dependence of them as elements of $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore, in the framework of "representative" approach conservation laws of a system $\mathcal{L}$ are considered *linearly dependent* if there exists a linear combination of their representatives, which is a trivial conserved vector.

3 Characteristics of conservation laws

Let the system $\mathcal{L}$ be totally nondegenerate [23]. Then application of the Hadamard lemma to the definition of conserved vector and integrating by parts imply that divergence of any conserved vector of $\mathcal{L}$ can be always presented, up to the equivalence relation of conserved vectors, as a linear combination of left hand sides of independent equations from $\mathcal{L}$ with coefficients λ^μ being functions on a suitable jet space $J^{(k)}$:

$$\operatorname{Div} F = \lambda^\mu L^\mu. \tag{4}$$

Here the order k is determined by $\mathcal{L}$ and the allowable order of conservation laws, $\mu = \overline{1,l}$.

Definition 5. Formula (4) and the l-tuple $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^l)$ are called the *characteristic form* and the *characteristic* of the conservation law $\operatorname{Div} F = 0$ correspondingly.

The characteristic λ is *trivial* if it vanishes for all solutions of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is nondegenerate, the characteristics λ and $\tilde\lambda$ satisfy (4) for the same F and, therefore, are called *equivalent* iff $\lambda - \tilde\lambda$ is a trivial characteristic. Similarly to conserved vectors, the set $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L})$ of characteristics corresponding to conservation laws of the system $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear space, and the subset $\mathrm{Ch}_0(\mathcal{L})$ of trivial characteristics is a linear subspace in $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L})$. The factor space $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L})/\mathrm{Ch}_0(\mathcal{L})$ coincides with the set of equivalence classes of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to the above characteristic equivalence relation.

The following result [23] forms the cornerstone for the methods of studying conservation laws, which are based on formula (4), including the Noether theorem and the direct method in the version by Anco and Bluman [1, 2].

Theorem 1 ([23]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a normal, totally nondegenerate system of differential equations. Then representation of conservation laws of $\mathcal{L}$ in the characteristic form* (4) *generates a one-to-one linear mapping between* $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ *and* $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathcal{L})$.

Using properties of total divergences, we can exclude the conserved vector F from (4) and obtain a condition for the characteristic λ only. Namely, a differential function f is a total divergence, i.e., $f = \operatorname{Div} F$ for some n-tuple F of differential functions iff $\mathsf{E}(f) = 0$. Hereafter the Euler operator $\mathsf{E} = (\mathsf{E}^1, \ldots, \mathsf{E}^m)$ is the m-tuple of differential operators

$$\mathsf{E}^a = (-D)^\alpha \partial_{u^a_\alpha}, \quad a = \overline{1,m},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ runs the multi-indices set ($\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$), $(-D)^\alpha = (-D_1)^{\alpha_1} \ldots (-D_m)^{\alpha_m}$. Therefore, action of the Euler operator on (4) results to the equation

$$\mathsf{E}(\lambda^\mu L^\mu) = \mathsf{D}^*_\lambda(L) + \mathsf{D}^*_L(\lambda) = 0, \tag{5}$$

which is a necessary and sufficient condition on characteristics of conservation laws for the system $\mathcal{L}$. The matrix differential operators D^*_λ and D^*_L are the adjoints of the Fréchet derivatives D_λ and D_L, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{D}^*_\lambda(L) = \left((-D)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \lambda^\mu}{\partial u^a_\alpha} L^\mu\right)\right), \qquad \mathsf{D}^*_L(\lambda) = \left((-D)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial L^\mu}{\partial u^a_\alpha} \lambda^\mu\right)\right).$$

Since $\mathsf{D}^*_\lambda(L) = 0$ automatically on solutions of $\mathcal{L}$ then equation (5) implies a necessary condition for λ to belong to $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L})$:

$$\mathsf{D}^*_L(\lambda)\big|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0. \qquad (6)$$

Condition (6) can be considered as adjoint to the criterion $\mathsf{D}_L(\eta)\big|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for infinitesimal invariance of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to evolutionary vector field having the characteristic $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^m)$. That is why solutions of (6) are called sometimes as *cosymmetries* [4] or *adjoint symmetries* [2].

4 Equivalence of conservation laws with respect to transformation groups

We can essentially simplify and order classification of conservation laws, taking into account additionally symmetry transformations of a system or equivalence transformations of a whole class of systems. Such problem is similar to one of group classification of differential equations.

Proposition 1. *Any point transformation g maps a class of equations in the conserved form into itself. More exactly, the transformation g: $\tilde{x} = x_g(x,u)$, $\tilde{u} = u_g(x,u)$ prolonged to the jet space $J^{(r)}$ transforms the equation $D_iF^i = 0$ to the equation $D_iF^i_g = 0$. The transformed conserved vector F_g is determined by the formula*

$$F^i_g(\tilde{x}, \tilde{u}_{(r)}) = \frac{D_{x_j}\tilde{x}_i}{|D_x\tilde{x}|}\, F^j(x, u_{(r)}), \quad \textit{i.e.} \quad F_g(\tilde{x}, \tilde{u}_{(r)}) = \frac{1}{|D_x\tilde{x}|}(D_x\tilde{x})F(x, u_{(r)}) \qquad (7)$$

in the matrix notions. Here $|D_x\tilde{x}|$ is the determinant of the matrix $D_x\tilde{x} = (D_{x_j}\tilde{x}_i)$.

Note 1. In the case of one dependent variable ($m = 1$) g can be a contact transformation: $\tilde{x} = x_g(x, u_{(1)})$, $\tilde{u}_{(1)} = u_{g(1)}(x, u_{(1)})$. Similar notes are also true for the statements below.

Definition 6. Let G be a symmetry group of the system $\mathcal{L}$. Two conservation laws with the conserved vectors F and F' are called *G-equivalent* if there exists a transformation $g \in G$ such that the conserved vectors F_g and F' are equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.

Any transformation $g \in G$ induces a linear one-to-one mapping g_* in $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$, transforms trivial conserved vectors only to trivial ones (i.e., $\mathrm{CV}_0(\mathcal{L})$ is invariant with respect to g_*) and therefore, induces a linear one-to-one mapping g_{f} in $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$. It is obvious that g_{f} preserves linear (in)dependence of elements in $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ and maps a basis (a set of generatrices) of $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ in a basis (a set of generatrices) of the same space. In such way we can consider the G-equivalence relation of conservation laws as well-determined on $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ and use it to classify conservation laws.

Proposition 2. *If the system $\mathcal{L}$ admits a one-parameter group of transformations then the infinitesimal generator $X = \xi^i\partial_i + \eta^a\partial_{u^a}$ of this group can be used for construction of new conservation laws from known ones. Namely, differentiating equation (7) with respect to the parameter ε and taking the value $\varepsilon = 0$, we obtain the new conserved vector*

$$\widetilde{F}^i = -X_{(r)}F^i + (D_j\xi^i)F^j - (D_j\xi^j)F^i. \qquad (8)$$

Here $X_{(r)}$ denotes the r-th prolongation [23, 24] of the operator X.

Note 2. Formula (8) can be directly extended to generalized symmetry operators (see, for example, [5, 18]). A similar statement for generalized symmetry operators in evolutionary form ($\xi^i = 0$) was known earlier [9,23]. It was used in [19] to introduce a notion of basis of conservation laws as a set which generates a whole set of conservation laws with action of generalized symmetry operators and operation of linear combination.

Proposition 3. *Any point transformation g between systems $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ induces a one-to-one linear mapping g_* from $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L})$ into $\mathrm{CV}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$, which maps $\mathrm{CV}_0(\mathcal{L})$ into $\mathrm{CV}_0(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and generates a one-to-one linear mapping g_f from $\mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L})$ to $\mathrm{CL}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$.*

Corollary 1. *Any point transformation g between systems $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ induces a one-to-one linear mapping $\hat{g}_\mathrm{f}$ from $\mathrm{Ch_f}(\mathcal{L})$ to $\mathrm{Ch_f}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$.*

It is possible to obtain an explicit formula for correspondence between characteristics of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\mu = \Lambda^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}^\nu$, where $\Lambda^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^{\mu\nu\alpha}D^\alpha$, $\Lambda^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ are differential functions, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ runs the multi-indices set ($\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$), $\mu, \nu = \overline{1, l}$. Then

$$\lambda^\mu = \Lambda^{\nu\mu *}(|D_x\tilde{x}|\tilde{\lambda}^\nu).$$

Here $\Lambda^{\nu\mu *} = (-D)^\alpha \cdot \Lambda^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is the adjoint to the operator $\Lambda^{\nu\mu}$. For a number of cases, e.g. if $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are single partial differential equations ($l = 1$), the operators $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ are simply differential functions (i.e. $\Lambda^{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0$ for $|\alpha| > 0$) and, therefore, $\Lambda^{\nu\mu *} = \Lambda^{\mu\nu}$.

Consider the class $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$ of systems $\mathcal{L}_\theta$: $L(x, u_{(\rho)}, \theta(x, u_{(\rho)})) = 0$ parameterized with the parameter-functions $\theta = \theta(x, u_{(\rho)})$. Here L is a tuple of fixed functions of x, $u_{(\rho)}$ and θ. θ denotes the tuple of arbitrary (parametric) functions $\theta(x, u_{(\rho)}) = (\theta^1(x, u_{(\rho)}), \dots, \theta^k(x, u_{(\rho)}))$ running the set $\mathcal{S}$ of solutions of the system $S(x, u_{(\rho)}, \theta_{(q)}(x, u_{(\rho)})) = 0$. This system consists of differential equations on θ, where x and $u_{(\rho)}$ play the role of independent variables and $\theta_{(q)}$ stands for the set of all the partial derivatives of θ of order no greater than q. In what follows we call the functions θ arbitrary elements. Sometimes the set $\mathcal{S}$ is additionally constrained by the non-vanish condition $S'(x, u_{(p)}, \theta_{(q)}(x, u_{(p)})) \neq 0$ with another tuple S' of differential functions. Denote the point transformations group preserving the form of the systems from $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$ as $G^\sim = G^\sim(L, S)$.

Consider the set $P = P(L, S)$ of all pairs each of which consists of a system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ from $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$ and a conservation law $\mathcal{F}$ of this system. In view of Proposition 3, action of symmetry transformations of the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ and transformations from $G^\sim$ on $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$ and $\{\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L}_\theta) \,|\, \theta \in \mathcal{S}\}$ together with the pure equivalence relation of conserved vectors naturally generates equivalence relations on P. Such generations can be made in several directions: classification of pairs "system + space of conservation laws"; classification of conservation laws for a given system with respect to its symmetry group; classification of pairs "system + a conservation law". Let us consider these possibilities in more detail.

1. Equivalence with respect to an equivalence group [26]. We wish to find a list of systems from the class $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$ where (i) $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ are graded by the dimensions of conservation laws and (ii) we can choose a representative, all other systems are equivalent to, or we can write conditions that systems belong to a given class.

Definition 7. Let $\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$, $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathrm{CL}(\mathcal{L}_{\theta'})$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F' \in \mathcal{F}'$. The pairs $(\mathcal{L}_\theta, \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{L}_{\theta'}, \mathcal{F}')$ are called $G^\sim$*-equivalent* if there exists a transformation $g \in G^\sim$ which transform the system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ to the system $\mathcal{L}_{\theta'}$ and such that the conserved vectors F_g and F' are equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.

Classification of conservation laws with respect to $G^\sim$ will be understood as classification in P with respect to the above equivalence relation. This problem can be investigated in a way that is similar to group classification in classes of systems of differential equations, especially if it is formulated in terms of characteristics. Namely, we construct firstly the conservation laws that are defined for all values of the arbitrary elements. (The corresponding conserved vectors may depend on the arbitrary elements.) Then we classify, with respect to the equivalence group, arbitrary elements for each of that the system admits additional conservation laws.

In an analogues way we also can introduce equivalence relations on P, which are generated by either generalizations of usual equivalence groups or all admissible point or contact transformations (called also form-preserving ones [21]) in pairs of equations from $\mathcal{L}|_\mathcal{S}$.

2. Generating sets of conservation laws. Consider system $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ and a non-empty subset $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of the set $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$ of its conserved vectors. Acting on F_γ by the transformations from the symmetry group $G^{\max}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$ of $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ and taking linear combinations, we may obtain wider set of conserved vectors. Roughly speaking, the set $\mathfrak{F}$ is independent with respect to $G^{\max}$ if an arbitrary nonzero linear combination of $G^{\max}$-transformed conservation laws is nontrivial. The rigorous definition is as follows.

Definition 8. The subset $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset \mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$ is *dependent with respect to the group $G^{\max}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$ of symmetry transformations* if

$$\forall\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma,\ \forall g^i \in G^{\max}(\mathcal{L}_\theta) \quad \lambda_i g^i_* F_\gamma = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i = 0,\ i = \overline{1,n}.$$

The set of $G^{\max}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$-independent conserved vectors generating the whole space $\mathrm{CV}(\mathcal{L}_\theta)$ is called *generating set* for the given system. Since the operations of symmetry transformation and taking the linear combination commute, the above definition is well-posed.

Generating sets of such type, called also L-bases, were firstly described in [19] (see also [9]).

3. Generating sets of pairs "system + conservation law". Consider now the action of an equivalence transformation on a pair from P. If the first element of the resulting pair coincides with the first element of another pair from P, we can take linear combinations of their second elements. All conservation laws that cannot be obtained in such way will be called inequivalent. In such way we obtain a generating set of pairs "system + conservation law".

It becomes especially interesting if such generating set depends on arbitrary elements (parameters) of the system. In particular, it is possible that the characteristics of conservation laws do not depend on the parameters. Then, the action of equivalence group on a pair from the generating set maps it to a pair from P. Taking the linear combinations of conservation laws for the pairs with coinciding first elements, we get all possible conservation laws.

Note 3. A notion of generating sets of pairs "system + conservation law" with respect to all admissible transformations is introduced in an analogous way.

Note that a problem of constructing such generating sets is different from the one of classification of conservation laws with respect to equivalence group: under the problem of classification of conservation laws with respect to equivalence group we understand classification of pairs "equation+ conservation law", and a conservation law from the generating set can generate a subspace of the space of conservation laws for equation with another values of arbitrary elements.

Note 4. It can be easy shown that all the above equivalences are indeed equivalence relations, i.e., they have the usual reflexive, symmetric and transitive properties.

5 Local conservation laws of diffusion–convection equations

We search (local) conservation laws of equations from class (2), applying the modification of the direct method, which was proposed in [26] and is based on using the notion of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to a transformation group and classification up to the equivalence group of a class of differential equations.

Conservation laws were investigated for some subclasses of class (1). In particular, conservation laws of the linear heat equation and Burgers equation were constructed firstly in [3]. V.A. Dorodnitsyn and S.R. Svirshchevskii [8] (see also [10, Chapter 10]) completely investigated the local conservation laws for one-dimensional reaction–diffusion equations $u_t = (A(u))_{xx} + C(u)$ having non-empty intersection with class (1). In [18] the first-order local conservation laws of equations (1) are found. Developing results obtained in [7] for the case $hB = 0$, $f = 1$, in the recent papers [11, 26] we completely classified potential conservation laws (including arbitrary order local ones) of equations (1) with $f = g = h = 1$ with respect to the corresponding equivalence group. Conservation laws of equations (1) were considered in [13].

In view of results of Section 4 it is sufficient for exhaustive investigation if we classify conservation laws of equations only from class (2).

There are two independent variables t and x in equations under consideration, which play a role of the time and space variables correspondingly. Therefore, the general form of constructed conservation laws will be

$$D_tF(t,x,u_{(r)}) + D_xG(t,x,u_{(r)}) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where D_t and D_x are the operators of total differentiation with respect to t and x. The components F and G of the conserved vector (F,G) are called the *density* and the *flux* of the conservation law. Two conserved vectors (F,G) and (F',G') are equivalent if there exist such functions $\hat F$, $\hat G$ and H of t, x and derivatives of u that $\hat F$ and $\hat G$ vanish for all solutions of $\mathcal{L}$ and $F' = F + \hat F + D_xH$, $G' = G + \hat G - D_tH$.

At first we prove the lemma on order of local conservation laws for more general class of second-order evolution equations, which covers class (1).

Lemma 2. *Any local conservation law of any second-order* $(1+1)$*-dimensional quasi-linear evolutionary equation has the first order and, moreover, there exists its conserved vector with the density depending at most on* t*,* x*, and* u *and the flux depending at most on* t*,* x*,* u *and* u_x*.*

Proof. Consider a conservation law of form (9) of the second-order $(1+1)$-dimensional quasi-linear evolutionary equation

$$u_t = S(t,x,u,u_x)u_{xx} + R(t,x,u,u_x), \tag{10}$$

where $S \neq 0$. In view of equation (10) and its differential consequences, we can assume that F and G depend only on t, x and $u_k = \partial^k u/\partial x^k$, $k = \overline{0,r'}$, where $r' \leq 2r$. Suppose that $r' > 1$. We expand the total derivatives in (9) and take into account differential consequences of form $u_{tj} = D_x^j(Su_{xx} + R)$, where $u_{tj} = \partial^{j+1}u/\partial t\partial x^k$, $j = \overline{0,r'}$. As a result, we obtain the following condition

$$F_t + F_{u_j}D_x^j(Su_{xx} + R) + G_x + G_{u_j}u_{j+1} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Let us decompose (11) with respect to the highest derivatives u_j. Thus, the coefficients of $u_{r'+2}$ and $u_{r'+1}$ give the equations $F_{u_{r'}} = 0$, $G_{u_{r'}} + SF_{u_{r'-1}} = 0$ that implies

$$F = \hat F, \quad G = -S\hat F_{u_{r'-1}}u_{r'} + \hat G,$$

where $\hat F$ and $\hat G$ are functions of t, x, u, u_1, …, $u_{r'-1}$. Then, after selecting the terms containing $u_{r'}^2$, we obtain that $-S\hat F_{u_{r'-1}u_{r'-1}} = 0$. It yields that $\hat F = \check F^1 u_{r'-1} + \check F^0$, where $\check F^1$ and $\check F^0$ depend only on t, x, u, u_1, …, $u_{r'-2}$.

Consider the conserved vector with the density $\tilde F = F - D_xH$ and the flux $\tilde G = G + D_tH$, where $H = \int \check F^1 du_{r'-2}$. This conserved vector is equivalent to the initial one, and

$$\tilde F = \tilde F(t,x,u,u_1,\dots,u_{r'-2}), \quad \tilde G = \tilde G(t,x,u,u_1,\dots,u_{r'-1}).$$

Iterating the above procedure a necessary number of times, we result in an equivalent conserved vector depending only on t, x, u and u_x, i.e. we can assume at once that $r' \leq 1$. Then the coefficients of u_{xxx} and u_{xx} in (11) lead to the equations $F_{u_x} = 0$, $G_{u_x} + SF_u = 0$ that implies $F = F(t,x,u)$ and, moreover, $G = -F_u \int S\, du_x + G^1$, where $G^1 = G^1(t,x,u)$. □

Note 5. A similar statement is true for an arbitrary (1+1)-dimensional evolution equation $\mathcal{L}$ of the even order $r = 2\bar{r}$, $\bar{r} \in \mathbb{N}$. For example [9], for any conservation law of $\mathcal{L}$ we can assume up to equivalence of conserved vectors that F and G depend only on t, x and derivatives of u with respect to x, and the maximal order of derivatives in F is not greater than $\bar{r}$.

Lemma 2 gives a stronger result for a more restricted class of equations. In the above proof we specially use the most direct method to demonstrate its effectiveness in quite general cases. This proof can be easily extended to other classes of (1+1)-dimensional evolution equations of even orders and some systems connected with evolution equations [26].

Theorem 2. *A complete list of $G_1^{\sim}$-inequivalent equations* (2) *having nontrivial conservation laws is exhausted by the following ones*

1. $h=1\colon\quad (\,fu,\ -Au_x-\textstyle\int\! B\,).$
2. $A=1,\quad B_u\neq 0,\quad f=-h(h^{-1})_{xx}\colon\quad (\,e^t(h^{-1})_{xx}u,\ e^t(h^{-1}u_x-(h^{-1})_xu+\textstyle\int\! B)\,).$
3. $B=\varepsilon A+1,\quad f=h_y\colon\quad (\,e^{t-\varepsilon\int h}h_yu,\ -e^t(Ae^{-\varepsilon\int h}u_y+hu)\,).$
4. $B=\varepsilon A+1,\quad f=h_y+hy^{-1}\colon\quad (\,e^{t-\varepsilon\int h}yfu,\ -e^t(yAe^{-\varepsilon\int h}u_y+yhu-\textstyle\int\! A)\,).$
5. $B=\varepsilon A\colon\quad (yfe^{-\varepsilon\int h}u,\ -yAe^{-\varepsilon\int h}u_y+\textstyle\int\! A),\ (fe^{-\varepsilon\int h}u,\ -Ae^{-\varepsilon\int h}u_y).$
6. $B=\varepsilon A+1,\quad f=-Z^{-1}h,\quad h=Z^{-1/2}\exp\left(-\displaystyle\int\frac{a_{00}+a_{11}}{2Z}dy\right)\colon$

   $(\,(\sigma^{k1}y+\sigma^{k0})fe^{-\varepsilon\int h}u,\ -(\sigma^{k1}y+\sigma^{k0})(Ae^{-\varepsilon\int h}u_y+hu)+\sigma^{k1}\textstyle\int\! A\,).$
7. $A=1,\quad B=0\colon\quad (\,\alpha fu,\ -\alpha u_x+\alpha_xu\,).$

Here y is implicitly determined by the formula $x=\int e^{\varepsilon\int h(y)dy}dy$; $\varepsilon, a_{ij}=\mathrm{const}$, $i,j=\overline{0,1}$; $(\sigma^{k1},\sigma^{k0})=(\sigma^{k1}(t),\sigma^{k0}(t))$, $k=\overline{1,2}$, is a fundamental solution system of the system of ODEs $\sigma^{\nu}_t=a_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu}$; $Z=a_{01}y^2+(a_{00}-a_{11})y-a_{10}$; $\alpha=\alpha(t,x)$ is an arbitrary solution of the linear equation $f\alpha_t+\alpha_{xx}=0$. Hereafter $\int A=\int A\,du$, $\int B=\int B\,du$. In case 5 $\varepsilon\in\{0,1\}$ mod $G_1^{\sim}$.

(Together with constraints on the parameter-functions A, B, f and h we also adduce conserved vectors of the basis elements of the corresponding space of conservation laws.)

In Theorem 2 we have classified conservation laws with respect to the usual equivalence group $G_1^{\sim}$. The obtained result can be formulated in an implicit form only, and indeed case 6 is split into a number of inequivalent cases depending on values of a_{ij}. At the same time, using the extended equivalence group $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$, we can present the result of classification in a closed and simple form with a smaller number of inequivalent equations having nontrivial conservation laws.

Theorem 3. *A complete list of $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$-inequivalent equations* (2) *having nontrivial conservation laws is exhausted by the following ones*

1. $h=1\colon\quad (\,fu,\ -Au_x-\textstyle\int\! B\,),\ 1.$
2. $A=1,\quad B_u\neq 0,\quad f=-h(h^{-1})_{xx}\colon\quad (\,e^t(h^{-1})_{xx}u,\ e^t(h^{-1}u_x-(h^{-1})_xu+\textstyle\int\! B)\,),\ -e^th^{-1}.$
3. $B=1,\quad f=h_x\colon\quad (\,e^tfu,\ -e^t(Au_x+hu)\,),\ e^t.$
4. $B=1,\quad f=h_x+hx^{-1}\colon\quad (\,e^txfu,\ -e^t(xAu_x+xhu-\textstyle\int\! A)\,),\ e^tx.$

5a. $B=0\colon\quad (\,fu,\ -Au_x\,),\ 1;\ (\,xfu,\ -xAu_x+\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ x.$

5b. $B=1,\quad f=1,\quad h=1\colon\quad (\,u,\ -Au_x-u\,),\ 1;\ (\,(x+t)u,\ -(x+t)(Au_x+u)+\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ x+t.$

5c. $B=1,\quad f=e^x,\quad h=e^x\colon\quad (\,e^{x+t}u,\ -e^t(Au_x+e^xu)\,),\ e^t;$

$(\,e^{x+t}(x+t)u,\ -e^t(x+t)(Au_x+e^xu)+e^t\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^t(x+t).$

5d. $B=1,\quad f=x^{\mu-1},\quad h=x^{\mu}\colon\quad (\,e^{\mu t}x^{\mu-1}u,\ -e^{\mu t}(Au_x+x^{\mu}u)\,),\ e^{\mu t};$

$(\,e^{(\mu+1)t}x^{\mu}u,\ e^{(\mu+1)t}(-xAu_x-x^{\mu+1}u+\textstyle\int\! A)\,),\ e^{(\mu+1)t}x.$

6. $B=1,\quad f=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3},\quad h=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1},\quad \mu\in\{0,1\}$:
$(\,e^{\mu t}xfu,\ -e^{\mu t}x(Au_x+hu)+e^{\mu t}\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{\mu t}x;$
$(\,e^{\mu t}(tx-1)fu,\ -e^{\mu t}(tx-1)(Au_x+hu)+te^{\mu t}\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{\mu t}(tx-1).$

7. $B=1,\ f=|x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2},\ h=|x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:
$(\,e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)fu,\ -e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)(Au_x+hu)+e^{(2\mu+1)t}\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1);$
$(\,e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)fu,\ -e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)(Au_x+hu)+e^{(2\mu-1)t}\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1).$

8. $B=1,\quad f=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-3/2},\quad h=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-1/2}$:
$(\,e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)fu,\ -e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)(Au_x+hu)+e^{\mu t}\cos t\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t);$
$(\,e^{\mu t}(x\sin t-\cos t)fu,\ -e^{\mu t}(x\sin t-\cos t)(Au_x+hu)+e^{\mu t}\sin t\textstyle\int\! A\,),\ e^{\mu t}(x\sin t-\cos t).$

9. $A=1,\quad B=0$: $\quad(\,\alpha fu,\ -\alpha u_x+\alpha_x u\,),\ \alpha.$

Here $\mu=\mathrm{const}$, $\alpha=\alpha(t,x)$ *is an arbitrary solution of the linear equation* $f\alpha_t+\alpha_{xx}=0$. *(Together with constraints on the parameter-functions* A, B, f *and* h *we also adduce conserved vectors and characteristics of the basis elements of the corresponding space of conservation laws.)*

Proof. In view of lemma 2, we can assume at once that $F=F(t,x,u)$ and $G=G(t,x,u,u_x)$. Let us substitute the expression for u_t deduced from (2) into (9) and decompose the obtained equation with respect to u_{xx}. The coefficient of u_{xx} gives the equation $AF_u+fG_{u_x}=0$, therefore $G=-Af^{-1}F_uu_x+G^1(t,x,u)$. Taking into account the latter expression for G and splitting the rest of equation (9) with respect to the powers of u_x, we obtain the system of PDEs for the functions F and G^1 of the form

$$F_{uu}=0,\quad \frac{h}{f}BF_u-A\Big(\frac{F_u}{f}\Big)_x+G^1_u=0,\quad F_t+G^1_x=0. \tag{12}$$

Solving first two equations of (12) yields

$$F=F^1(t,x)u+F^0(t,x),\quad G^1=\Big(\frac{F^1}{f}\Big)_x\textstyle\int\! A-\dfrac{h}{f}F^1\textstyle\int\! B+G^0(t,x).$$

In further consideration the major role is played by a differential consequence of system (12) that can be written as

$$A\Big(\frac{F^1}{f}\Big)_{xx}-B\Big(\frac{h}{f}F^1\Big)_x+F^1_t=0. \tag{13}$$

Indeed, it is the unique classifying condition for this problem. In all classification cases we obtain the equation $F^0_t+G^0_x=0$. Therefore, up to conserved vectors equivalence we can assume $F^0=G^0=0$, and additionally $F^1\neq 0$ for conservation laws to be non-trivial. Equation (13) implies that there exist no non-trivial conservation laws in the general case. Let us classify the special values of the parameter-functions for which equation (2) possesses non-trivial conservation laws. There exist four different possibilities for values of A and B.

1. $\dim\langle A,B,1\rangle=3$. It follows from (13) that $F^1_t=(F^1/f)_{xx}=(hF^1/f)_x=0$ and therefore $F^1=C_1f/h$, $(1/h)_{xx}=0$, i.e., obviously $h\in\{1,x^{-1}\}$ mod $G^\sim$. Moreover, $h=1\sim\tilde h=x^{-1}$ mod $G^\sim$ (the corresponding transformation is $\tilde x=\ln|x|$ and $\tilde f=x^2f$, the other variables and parameter-functions are not changed). As a result, we obtain case 1.

2. $A\in\langle 1\rangle$, $B\notin\langle 1\rangle$. Then $A=1$ mod $G^\sim$ and $(hF^1/f)_x=0$, $F^1_t+(F^1/f)_{xx}=0$, i.e., $F^1=\alpha(t)f/h$, where $\alpha_t/\alpha=\varkappa=\mathrm{const}$. $\varkappa\neq 0$ (otherwise we have case 1) and so $\varkappa=1$, $f=-h(h^{-1})_{xx}$ mod $G^\sim$ (case 2).

3. $A \notin \langle 1\rangle$, $B \in \langle A, 1\rangle$. Then $B \in \langle 1\rangle \bmod G^\sim$ and $(F^1/f)_{xx} = 0$, $F^1_t = B(hF^1/f)_x$, i.e. $F^1 = (\alpha^1(t)x + \alpha^0(t))f$ and $\alpha^1_t xf + \alpha^0_t f = B(\alpha^1(xh)_x + \alpha^0 h_x)$. For $B = 0$ we obtain case 5a at once. Suppose $B \neq 0$. Then $B = 1 \bmod G^\sim$ and the dimension $m = \dim\langle f, xf, h_x, (xh)_x\rangle$ can have only the values 2 and 3.

If $m = 3$ then there exist constants $a_{\mu\nu}$, b_μ, $\mu,\nu = 0,1$, and a function $\theta = \theta(x)$ such that $(b_0, b_1) \neq (0,0)$, $\dim\langle f, xf, \theta\rangle = 3$ and $h_x = a_{00}f + a_{01}xf + b_0\theta$, $(xh)_x = a_{10}f + a_{11}xf + b_1\theta$. Therefore, $\alpha^\nu_t = a_{\mu\nu}\alpha^\mu$, $b_\mu\alpha^\mu = 0$, i.e. $\alpha^\mu = C_\mu e^{\sigma t}$, where $C_\mu, \sigma = \mathrm{const}$ and $\sigma \neq 0$ (otherwise, this case is reduced to a subcase of 1), hence $\sigma = 1 \bmod G^\sim$. Depending on values (either vanishing or non-vanishing) of C_1 we obtain cases 3 and 4 correspondingly.

If $m = 2$ then $h_x = a_{00}f + a_{01}xf$, $(xh)_x = a_{10}f + a_{11}xf$ for some constants $a_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu,\nu = 0,1$. Therefore, $\alpha^\nu_t = a_{\mu\nu}\alpha^\mu$, $f = -h/Z$, $h_x/h = -(a_{01}x + a_{00})/Z$, where $Z = a_{01}x^2 + (a_{00} - a_{11})x - a_{10}$, i.e., $h = Z^{-1/2}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}a_{\mu\mu}\int Z^{-1}dx)$. As a results, we obtain two conservation laws with the conserved vectors

$$(\alpha^{i1}(t)x + \alpha^{i0}(t))fu,\ -(\alpha^{i1}(t)x + \alpha^{i0}(t))(Au_x + hu) + \alpha^{i1}(t)\textstyle\int\! A),$$

where $(\alpha^{i1}, \alpha^{i0})$, $i = 1,2$ form a fundamental set of solutions of the system $\alpha^\nu_t = a_{\mu\nu}\alpha^\mu$. Separate consideration of possible inequivalent values of the constants $a_{\mu\nu}$ leads to cases 5b–5d and 6–8.

4. $A, B \in \langle 1\rangle$. Therefore, $A = 1$, $B = 0 \bmod \hat{G}^\sim$ and $F^1_t + (F^1/f)_{xx} = 0$ (case 9). $\square$

Analysis of the classification given in theorems 2 and 3 results in the following conclusions.

There are no conservation laws for equations from class (2) in the general case. Imposing restrictions on values of arbitrary parameters leads only to subclasses of (2) with one-, two- and infinite-dimensional spaces of (local) conservation laws. An equation from class (2) has infinite number of linearly independent conservation laws iff it is linear. In this case the space of conservation laws is parameterized with an arbitrary solution of the corresponding backward equation (which is obtained from the initial one by the time reflection).

There are four subclasses of equations (2) with one linearly independent conservation law (cases 1–4). Case 1 is distinguished from the other ones due to the single constraint $h = \mathrm{const}$ and, therefore, arbitrariness of nonlinearities A and B and the parameter-function f. The distinguishing features of case 2 are disappearance of nonlinearity with respect to A due to the constraint $A = \mathrm{const}$, arbitrariness of B and a second-order differential constraint between f and h. All the latter cases are obtained with the constraint $B \in \langle A, 1\rangle$ and first-order differential constraints of f and h since only cases 3 and 4 with the constraint $B \in \langle A, 1\rangle$ admit extensions of space of conservation laws.

Cases 5b–5d of Theorem 3 can be reduced to case 5a by means of additional equivalence transformations which belong neither to $G^\sim$ nor even to $\hat{G}^\sim$ and are pure form-preserving point transformations [20–22] for class (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&5\mathrm{b} \to 5\mathrm{a}_{f=1}: \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad \tilde{x} = x + t, \quad \tilde{u} = u;\\
&5\mathrm{c} \to 5\mathrm{a}_{f=e^x}: \quad \tilde{t} = e^t, \quad \tilde{x} = x + t, \quad \tilde{u} = u;\\
&5\mathrm{d}(\mu + 1 \neq 0) \to 5\mathrm{a}_{f=x^{\mu-1}}: \quad \tilde{t} = (\mu + 1)^{-1}(e^{(\mu+1)t} - 1), \quad \tilde{x} = xe^t, \quad \tilde{u} = u;\\
&5\mathrm{d}(\mu + 1 = 0) \to 5\mathrm{a}_{f=x^{-2}}: \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad \tilde{x} = xe^t, \quad \tilde{u} = u.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

6 Contractions of conservation laws

Similarly to contractions of symmetries or equations [15] one can consider the notion of contractions of conservation laws.

Consider the class $\{\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)\}$ of systems $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)$: $L(x, u_{(p)}, \varepsilon) = 0$ of l differential equations for m unknown functions $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^m)$ of n independent variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, which are parameterized with the parameter ε. Here $u_{(p)}$ denotes the set of all the derivatives of u with respect to x

of order not greater than p, including u as the derivatives of the zero order. $L = (L^1, \dots, L^l)$ is a tuple of l fixed functions depending on x, $u_{(p)}$ and ε. Let $\Lambda(\varepsilon) = (\lambda^1(x, u_{(k)}, \varepsilon), \dots, \lambda^l(x, u_{(k)}, \varepsilon))$ are characteristics of conservation laws of the systems $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)$, i.e., $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon))$. Suppose also that $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \to \bar{\Lambda}$, $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) \to \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $C^k(J^p)$.

Consider the action of Euler operator E on characteristic forms of the conservation law of the systems $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon)$: $\mathsf{E}\,\lambda^\mu(\varepsilon)L^\mu(\varepsilon) = 0\ \forall\varepsilon$. Since $\lambda^\mu(\varepsilon)L^\mu(\varepsilon) \to \bar{\lambda}^\mu\bar{L}^\mu$, $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $C^k(J^p)$ then $0 = \mathsf{E}(\lambda^\mu(\varepsilon)L^\mu(\varepsilon)) \to \mathsf{E}(\bar{\Lambda}^\mu\bar{L}^\mu) = 0$, $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore $\mathsf{E}(\bar{\lambda}^\mu\bar{L}^\mu) = 0$ or $\bar{\Lambda} \in \mathrm{Ch}(\bar{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\bar{\lambda}^\mu\bar{L}^\mu = 0$ is a characteristic form of the conservation law of the system $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$.

By analogy with terminology accepted for Lie algebras, we will call such limits as *contractions of characteristics* and *contractions of conservation laws.*

Example 1. Consider the equation

$$x^{\mu-1}u_t = (Au_x)_x + x^\mu u_x$$

having two linearly independent conservation laws with the characteristics $\lambda_1^\mu = e^{\mu t}$ and $\lambda_2^\mu = e^{(\mu+1)t}x$ (Case 5.d of Theorem 3). Under the contraction $x = 1 + \tilde{x}/\mu$, $t = \tilde{t}/\mu$, $\mu \to +\infty$ it goes to the equation

$$e^{\tilde{x}}u_{\tilde{t}} = (Au_{\tilde{x}})_{\tilde{x}} + e^{\tilde{x}}u_{\tilde{x}}$$

which possesses linearly independent conservation laws with the characteristics $\bar{\lambda}_1 = e^t$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2 = e^{\tilde{t}}(\tilde{x} + \tilde{t})$ (Case 5.c of Theorem 3).

Under the same limit process the characteristics λ_1^μ and λ_2^μ are transformed to characteristics of the target equation. Name $\lambda_1^\mu = e^{\mu t} = e^{\tilde{t}} \to e^{\tilde{t}} = \bar{\lambda}_1$ and $\mu(\lambda_1^\mu - \lambda_2^\mu) = e^{\tilde{t}}((e^{\tilde{t}/\mu} - 1)\mu + \tilde{x}e^{\tilde{t}/\mu}) \to \bar{\lambda}_2 = e^{\tilde{t}}(\tilde{x} + \tilde{t})$.

Example 2.

$$\left|\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right|^\mu |x^2-1|^{-3/2}u_t = (Au_x)_x + \varepsilon\left|\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right|^\mu |x^2-1|^{-1/2}u_x$$

Under contraction $x = 2\mu\tilde{x}/\mu'$, $t = \mu'\tilde{t}/(2\mu)$, $\mu \to +\infty$ it maps to

$$\tilde{x}^{-3}e^{-\mu'/x}u_{\tilde{t}} = (Au_{\tilde{x}})_{\tilde{x}} + \varepsilon\tilde{x}^{-1}e^{-\mu'/x}u_{\tilde{x}}$$

The characteristics $\lambda_1^\mu = e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)$ and $\lambda_2^\mu = e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)$ of the conservation laws of the origin equation give rise the characteristics for the target equation:

$$\lambda_1^\mu = e^{(2\mu+1)\tilde{t}}(\tilde{x}-1) = e^{\mu'\tilde{t}}\frac{e^{\mu'\tilde{t}/(2\mu)}}{\mu'/(2\mu)}\left(\tilde{x} - \frac{\mu'}{2\mu}\right) \to e^{\mu'\tilde{t}}x = \bar{\lambda}_1$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = e^{\mu'\tilde{t}}\left(\tilde{x}\frac{e^{\mu'\tilde{t}/\mu} - 1}{\mu'/\mu} - \frac{1}{2}(e^{\mu'\tilde{t}/\mu} + 1)\right)e^{\mu'\tilde{t}/(2\mu)} \to e^{\mu'\tilde{t}}(\tilde{t}\tilde{x} - 1) = \bar{\lambda}_2.$$

The problem of finding all contractions of conservation laws of class (2) is closely connected to the problem of construction of contractions of equations (2), and therefore, still remains open.

7 Generating sets of conservation laws of nonlinear diffusion–convection equations

We emphasize that the conservation laws adduced in Theorem 3 are $G^{\sim}$-inequivalent and linearly independent. At the same time, acting by the Lie symmetry transformations of equations having multidimensional spaces of conservation laws or by the equivalence transformations of the whole class of equations, one can find generating sets of conservation laws with respect to the symmetry groups.

Note 6. Since the cases 5b–5d of Theorem 3 can be reduced to case 5a by means of point transformations (14), below we exclude them from the consideration and assume them to be equivalent to case 5a.

Note 7. Below we investigate potential systems and potential conservation laws of nonlinear equations only. The detailed analysis of linear case can be found in [27].

Example 3. It is obvious that in general, for case 5a there exists no symmetry transformation changing the conservation laws of the fixed equation. However, for subcase $f = 1$ of case 5a the space translation $x \to x + 1$ maps the second basis conserved vector to $(\,(x+1)u,\ -(x+1)Au_x + \textstyle\int A\,)$. Subtracting it from the second basis vector, we obtain $(-u, -Au_x)$, that is the first basis vector multiplied by -1. Therefore, the generating with respect to symmetry group set of conservation laws of the subclass $f = 1$, $B = 0$ of equations (2) consists of the $D_t(xu) + D_x(\textstyle\int A - xAu_x) = 0$.

Investigation of generating sets of conservation laws can be performed both in terms of conserved vectors (as in above example) or in terms of characteristics. Below for short of presentation we use the characteristics terminology.

Example 4. Consider case 6 of equations possessing two-dimensional space of conservation laws with the basis conserved vectors having characteristics $e^{\mu t}x$ and $e^{\mu t}(tx - 1)$. Symmetry transformation of time translation $t \to t+1$ maps the second characteristic (modulo multiplying by a constant) to $e^{\mu t}(tx - x - 1)$. Subtracting the result from the characteristic $e^{\mu t}(tx - 1)$ we get exactly the first basis characteristic $e^{\mu t}x$. Therefore, the generating set of conservation laws of the subclass $b = 1$, $f = e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3}$, $g = 1$, $h = e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1}$ of equations (2) consists of the conservation law with characteristic $e^{\mu t}(tx - 1)$.

Similarly one can prove that conservation laws in case 8 are generated by one with the characteristic $e^{\mu t}(x\cos t + \sin t)$ and in case 7 by the conservation law with the characteristic $e^{2\mu t}(x\cosh t - \sinh t)$. Note that the basis conservation laws in this case have the characteristics $e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)$ and $e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)fu$. None of these two characteristics generates the whole space of conservation laws.

Let us consider now the problem of construction of generating set of conservation laws with respect to the extended equivalence group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$.

Example 5. Consider subcase 5a ($B = 0$) having two-dimensional space of conservation laws spanned by ones with characteristics 1 and x. Subtracting the second characteristic from the result of application of equivalence transformation $\tilde{x} = x + 1$ to it, we get the first basis conservation law for equation $\tilde{f}u_t = (Au_{\tilde{x}})_{\tilde{x}}$ with $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{x} - 1)$. Therefore, we can assume that conservation laws of subclass $B = 0$ are generated by $D_t(xfu) - D_x(xAu_x - \textstyle\int A) = 0$ and group $\hat{G}^{\sim}$ of equivalence transformations.

Example 6. Let us investigate in more detail case 7 of Theorem 3. It is shown above that for the fixed value of μ the set of local conservation laws is generated (with respect to the symmetry group of the equation) by the conservation law with characteristic $e^{2\mu t}(x\cosh t-\sinh t)$. Alternatively, we can consider an action of equivalence transformations to the conservation laws. Thus, discrete equivalence transformation $\tilde t=-t$, $\tilde x=-x$, $\tilde\mu=-\mu$ applied to the first basis characteristic $e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)$ generates the second characteristic $e^{(2\tilde\mu-1)\tilde t}$ of equation with $\tilde f=-f(-x,-\mu)$ $\tilde h=-h(-x,-\mu)$, $\tilde A=-A$ and $\tilde\mu=-\mu$.

Similarly it can be shown that there exists no other nontrivial action of transformations from $\hat G^{\sim}$ to conservation laws of equations (2). Therefore, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4. *The generating with respect to $\hat G^{\sim}$ set of nonlinear equations* (2) *and corresponding conservation laws consists of*

1. $h=1\colon\quad (\,fu,\ -Au_x-\textstyle\int B\,)$;
2. $A=1,\quad B_u\neq 0,\quad f=-h(h^{-1})_{xx}\colon\quad (\,e^t(h^{-1})_{xx}u,\ e^t(h^{-1}u_x-(h^{-1})_xu+\textstyle\int B)\,)$;
3. $B=1,\quad f=h_x\colon\quad (\,e^tfu,\ -e^t(Au_x+hu)\,)$;
4. $B=1,\quad f=h_x+hx^{-1}\colon\quad (\,e^txfu,\ -e^t(xAu_x+xhu-\textstyle\int A)\,)$;
5. $B=0\colon\quad (\,xfu,\ -xAu_x+\textstyle\int A\,)$;
6. $B=1,\quad f=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3},\quad h=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1},\quad \mu\in\{0,1\}\colon$
   $(\,e^{\mu t}(tx-1)fu,\ -e^{\mu t}(tx-1)(Au_x+hu)+te^{\mu t}\textstyle\int A\,)$;
7. $B=1,\ f=|x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2},\ h=|x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}\colon$
   $(\,e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)fu,\ -e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)(Au_x+hu)+e^{(2\mu+1)t}\textstyle\int A\,)$;
8. $B=1,\quad f=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-3/2},\quad h=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-1/2}\colon$
   $(\,e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)fu,\ -e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)(Au_x+hu)+e^{\mu t}\cos t\textstyle\int A\,)$.

8 Potential systems and potential conservation laws

If the local conservation laws of a system $\mathcal L$ of differential equations are known, we may apply Lemma 1 to the constructed conservation laws on the set of solutions of $\mathcal L=\mathcal L^0$. In such way we introduce potentials as additional dependent variables. Then we attach the equations connecting the potentials with components of corresponding conserved vectors to $\mathcal L^0$. (If $n>2$ the attached equations of such kind form an underdetermined system with respect to the potentials. Therefore, we can also attach gauge conditions on the potentials to $\mathcal L^0$.)

We have to use linearly independent conservation laws since otherwise the introduced potentials will be *dependent* in the following sense: there exists a linear combination of the potential tuples, which is, for some $r'\in\mathbb N$, a tuple of functions of x and $u_{(r')}$ only.

Then we exclude the unnecessary equations (i.e., the equations that are dependent on equations of $\mathcal L^0$ and attached equations simultaneously) from the extended (potential) system $\mathcal L^1$ which will be called a *potential system of the first level.* Any conservation law of $\mathcal L^0$ is a one of $\mathcal L^1$. We iterate the above procedure for $\mathcal L^1$ to find its conservation laws which are linearly independent with ones from the previous iteration and will be called *potential conservation laws of the first level.*

We make iterations until it is possible (i.e., the iteration procedure has to be stopped if all the conservation laws of a *potential system* $\mathcal L^{k+1}$ *of the* $(k+1)$*-th level* are linearly dependent with the ones of $\mathcal L^k$) or construct infinite chains of conservation laws by means of induction. A such way may yield *purely potential* conservation laws of the initial system $\mathcal L$, which are linearly independent with local conservation laws and depend explicitly on potential variables.

Any conservation law from the previous step of iteration procedure will be a conservation law for the next step and vice versa, conservation laws which are obtained on the next step and depend only on variables of the previous step are linearly dependent with conservation laws from the previous step. It is also obvious that the conservation laws used for construction of a potential system of the next level are trivial on the manifold of this system.

Since gauge conditions on potentials can be chosen in many different ways, exhaustive realization of the above iteration procedure is improbable in case $n > 2$.

The case of two independent variables is singular with respect to possible (constant) indeterminacy after introduction of potentials and high effectiveness of application of potential symmetries. That is why we consider some notions connected with conservation laws in this case separately. We denote independent variables as t (the time variable) and x (the space one). Any local conservation law has the form

$$D_tF(t,x,u_{(r)}) + D_xG(t,x,u_{(r)}) = 0.$$

It allows us to introduce the new dependent (potential) variable v by means of the equations

$$v_x = F, \qquad v_t = -G. \tag{15}$$

In the case of single equation $\mathcal{L}$, equations of form (15) combine into the complete potential system since $\mathcal{L}$ is a differential consequence of (15). As a rule, systems of such kind admit a number of nontrivial symmetries and so they are of a great interest.

Lemma 3 and equation (15) imply the following statement.

Proposition 4. *[26] Any point transformation connecting two systems $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of PDEs with two independent variables generates a one-to-one mapping between the sets of potential systems, which correspond to $\mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Generation is made via trivial prolongation on the space of introduced potential variables, i.e. we can assume that the potentials are not transformed.*

Corollary 2. *The Lie symmetry group of a system $\mathcal{L}$ of differential equations generates an equivalence group on the set of potential systems corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$.*

Corollary 3. *Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|_S$ be the set of all potential systems constructed for systems from the class $\mathcal{L}|_S$ with their conservation laws. Action of transformations from $G^{\sim}(L,S)$ together with the equivalence relation of potentials naturally generates an equivalence relation on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|_S$.*

Note 8. Proposition 4 and its corollaries imply that the equivalence group for a class of systems or the symmetry group for single system can be prolonged to potential variables for any step of the direct iteration procedure. It is natural the prolonged equivalence groups are used to classify possible conservation laws and potential systems in each iteration. Additional equivalences which exist in some subclasses of the class or arise after introducing potential variables can be used for further analysis of connections between conservation laws.

9 Potential systems of diffusion–convection equations

At first we consider potential systems obtained with introducing potentials associated to a single conservation law. According to the terminology proposed in [26] we use the attribute “simplest” to single out such systems from the set of all potential systems associated to equations (2).

The spaces of local conservation laws in cases 1–4 of Theorem 3 are one-dimensional and give rise to the following nonlinear simplest potential systems:

1. $h = 1$: $\quad v_x = fu,\ v_t = Au_x + \int B$

2. $A = 1,\ B_u \neq 0,\ f = -h(h^{-1})_{xx}$: $\quad v_x = e^t(h^{-1})_{xx}u,\ v_t = e^t(-h^{-1}u_x + (h^{-1})_xu - \int B)$.

3. $B = 1,\ f = h_x$: $\quad v_x = e^th_xu,\ v_t = e^t(Au_x + hu)$.

4. $B=1$, $f=h_x+hx^{-1}$: $\qquad v_x=e^t xfu$, $v_t=e^t(xAu_x+xhu-\textstyle\int A)$.

If the dimension of the space of local conservation laws is greater than one then the situation is more complicated. Previously, for construction of simplest potential systems only basis conservation laws were used (e.g., like systems **1** and **5** for case 5a of Theorem 3). It was just luck that all possible inequivalent potential systems are exhausted for the considered cases. It happened because arbitrary linear combination of basis conservation laws could be reduced to a subset of the basis elements by means of a symmetry transformation of the considered system. Here we will show that the basis conservation laws may be equivalent with respect to group of symmetry transformations, or vice versa, the number of $G^{\sim}$-independent linear combinations may be grater than the dimension of the space of conservation laws. Class (2) is very rich in the sense that it provides us with examples of all these three cases.

Case 5a of Theorem 3 is the classical. The most general form of the simplest system is

$$v_x=(c_1x+c_2)fu,\quad v_t=(c_1x+c_2)Au_x-\textstyle\int A,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. Here and below $(c_1,c_2)\neq(0,0)$. Moreover, without lost of generality, we can assume that the coefficients of linear combinations are determined up to nonzero factor (in most of cases $c_1^2+c_2^2=1$).

If $c_1=0$ the obtained system coincides with system $\mathbf{1}|_{B=0}$. If $c_1\neq0$ it can be mapped to the system

5. $B=0$: $\qquad v_x=xfu,\quad v_t=xAu_x-\int A$

by means of equivalence transformation of translation in x. We emphasize that in case $f_x\neq0$ this transformation changes the value of arbitrary element f. Therefore, we can reconstruct all potential symmetries of the subclass $B=0$ of class (2) applying translations in the space variable to the arbitrary element f and to the potential symmetries obtained from systems **1** and **5**.

Potential system corresponding to case 6 has the form

$$v_x=e^{\mu t}(c_1x+c_2tx-c_2)fu,\quad v_t=e^{\mu t}(c_1x+c_2tx-c_2)(Au_x+hu)-e^{\mu t}c_1\textstyle\int A.$$

In contrast to the previous case, we can simplify the form of the potential system using the symmetry transformations (translation of time) of the fixed equation of the given form. More precisely, using the time translation and scaling of potential v one can reduce the potential system to one of the following forms:

6.1. $B=1$, $f=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3}$, $h=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1}$, $\mu\in\{0,1\}$:

$$v_x=e^{\mu t}xfu,\quad v_t=e^{\mu t}x(Au_x+hu)-e^{\mu t}\textstyle\int A,$$

if $c_2=0$ or

6.2. $B=1$, $f=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3}$, $h=e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1}$, $\mu\in\{0,1\}$:

$$v_x=e^{\mu t}(tx-1)fu,\quad v_t=e^{\mu t}(tx-1)(Au_x+hu)-te^{\mu t}\textstyle\int A$$

if $c_2\neq0$.

The most interesting from this point of view is the case 7 of Theorem 3. It is obvious that the most general form of the associated simplest potential system is

$$\begin{aligned}v_x&=e^{2\mu t}(x(c_1\cosh t+c_2\sinh t)-c_1\sinh t-c_2\cosh t)fu,\\ v_t&=e^{2\mu t}(x(c_1\cosh t+c_2\sinh t)-c_1\sinh t-c_2\cosh t)(Au_x+hu)\\ &\quad-e^{2\mu t}(c_1\cosh t+c_2\sinh t)\textstyle\int A\,).\end{aligned}$$

Without lost of generality we can assume $c_1 \geq 0$. Cases $c_1 > |c_2| \geq 0$ are equivalent (under the action of symmetry transformations of translation in time) to the system

7.1. $B = 1$, $f = |x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2}$, $h = |x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:

$$v_x = e^{2\mu t}(x\cosh t - \sinh t)fu, \quad v_t = e^{2\mu t}(x\cosh t - \sinh t)(Au_x + hu) - e^{2\mu t}\cosh t \textstyle\int A.$$

Similarly cases $|c_2| > c_1 \geq 0$ fall to the system

7.2. $B = 1$, $f = |x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2}$, $h = |x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:

$$v_x = e^{2\mu t}(x\sinh t - \cosh t)fu, \quad v_t = e^{2\mu t}(x\sinh t - \cosh t)(Au_x + hu) - e^{2\mu t}\sinh t \textstyle\int A.$$

At last, we should consider the case of $|c_1| = |c_2|$. Using the scaling transformation of the potential v we can reduce them either to

7.3. $B = 1$, $f = |x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2}$, $h = |x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:

$$v_x = e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)fu, \quad v_t = e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)(Au_x + hu) - e^{(2\mu+1)t} \textstyle\int A,$$

if $c_1 = c_2$ or to

$B = 1$, $f = |x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2}$, $h = |x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:

$$v_x = e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)fu, \quad v_t = e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)(Au_x + hu) - e^{(2\mu-1)t} \textstyle\int A$$

in the case $c_1 = -c_2$. Since we consider simultaneously the whole class of equations (2), we can apply additionally discrete equivalence transformation of alternating of sign in the set $(t, x, u, v, f, h, A, \mu)$ and reduce the latter system to **7.3**. We emphasize once more that if one considers a separate fixed equation from case 7 of Theorem 3, he obtains 4 independent potential systems. Considering simultaneously the class of equations we have three $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$-independent systems. Nevertheless, in this case the number of independent potential systems is greater than the number of basis conservation laws.

It is not difficult to show that under the action of symmetry transformation of translation in time and scaling of potential variable there exist only one locally inequivalent simplest potential system in case 8 of Theorem 3:

8. $B = 1$, $f = e^{\mu \arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-3/2}$, $h = e^{\mu \arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-1/2}$:

$$v_x = e^{\mu t}(x\cos t + \sin t)fu, \quad v_t = e^{\mu t}(x\cos t + \sin t)(Au_x + hu) - e^{\mu t}\cos t \textstyle\int A.$$

Thus, the number of independent potential systems is smaller then the number of basis conservation laws.

Theorem 5. *The complete set of $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$-independent simplest potential systems of equations from class* (2) *is exhausted by ones with bold numbers* **1**–**5**, **6.1**, **6.2**, **7.1**–**7.3**, **8**.

As one can see, in cases 5a–8 of Theorem 3 the spaces of local conservation laws are two-dimensional. It allows us to introduce *extended potential systems* [26] by means of introducing two potentials for each case simultaneously:

5′. $B = 0$: $\quad v_x = fu$, $v_t = Au_x$, $w_x = xfu$, $w_t = xAu_x - \int A$.

6′. $B = 1$, $f = e^{-\mu/x}x^{-3}$, $h = e^{-\mu/x}x^{-1}$, $\mu \in \{0, 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &v_x = e^{\mu t}xfu, \quad v_t = e^{\mu t}x(Au_x + hu) - e^{\mu t} \textstyle\int A \\ &w_x = e^{\mu t}(tx-1)fu, \quad w_t = e^{\mu t}(tx-1)(Au_x + hu) - te^{\mu t} \textstyle\int A. \end{aligned}$$

7′. $B=1$, $f=|x-1|^{\mu-3/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-3/2}$, $h=|x-1|^{\mu-1/2}|x+1|^{-\mu-1/2}$:

$$v_x=e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)fu,\quad v_t=e^{(2\mu+1)t}(x-1)(Au_x+hu)-e^{(2\mu+1)t}\textstyle\int A$$
$$w_x=e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)fu,\quad w_t=e^{(2\mu-1)t}(x+1)(Au_x+hu)-e^{(2\mu-1)t}\textstyle\int A.$$

8′. $B=1$, $f=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-3/2}$, $h=e^{\mu\arctan x}(x^2+1)^{-1/2}$:

$$v_x=e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)fu,\quad v_t=e^{\mu t}(x\cos t+\sin t)(Au_x+hu)-e^{\mu t}\cos t\textstyle\int A,$$
$$w_x=e^{\mu t}(x\sin t-\cos t)fu,\quad w_t=e^{\mu t}(x\sin t-\cos t)(Au_x+hu)-e^{\mu t}\sin t\textstyle\int A.$$

Potential symmetries of equations (2) arising from the above independent potential symmetries will be investigated in the last part [16] of this series.

10 Potential conservation laws of diffusion–convection equations

All potential systems of equations (2) are constructed with usage of local conservation laws of equations (2) which were classified with respect to $\hat{G}_1^{\sim}$. Each of these subclasses of conservation laws is equivalent with respect to a subgroup of $G_1^{\sim}$. In each case this subgroup has a very simple structure and can be singled out from (3) imposing an additional condition $\delta_7=\delta_8=0$. It becomes the usual equivalence group of class (2) and is trivially prolonged to the corresponding potentials. Henceforth we will denote such prolongation as $G_{\rm pr}^{\sim}$.

Let us investigate local conservation laws of potential systems **1**-**8′**, which have the form

$$D_tF(t,x,u_{(r)},v_{(r)}(,w_{(r)}))+D_xG(t,x,u_{(r)},v_{(r)}(,w_{(r)}))=0. \tag{16}$$

These laws can be considered as nonlocal (potential) conservation laws of equations from class (2).

Lemma 3. *Any conservation law of form* (16) *for each of systems* **1**-**8′** *from Table 1 has the zero order, i.e., it is equivalent to a conservation law with a conserved density F and a conserved flux G that are independent on the (non-zero order) derivatives of u and potentials v (and w).*

Proof. Consider any from the systems **1**-**8′**. Taking it and its differential consequences into account, we can exclude dependence of F and G on the all (non-zero order) derivatives of v (and w) and the derivatives of u containing differentiation with respect to t. The remain part of the proof is completely similar to the one of Lemma 2. ☐

Systems $\mathbf{1}|_{B=0}$, **3**–**7.3** and **5′**–**8′** are quit similar: for all of them $B=\mathrm{const}$. Analyzing conservation laws of them we prove the following statement.

Lemma 4. *Nonlinear equations* (2) *with $B=0$ or $B=1$ have nontrivial potential conservation laws only if $A=u^{-2}$* mod $G_{\rm pr}^{\sim}$.

Proof. Each of the simplest potential systems $\mathbf{1}|_{B=0}$, **3**–**7.3** has the form

$$v_x=\lambda fu,\quad v_t=\lambda(Au_x+hu)-\lambda_x\textstyle\int A, \tag{17}$$

where $\lambda=\lambda(t,x)$, is a characteristic of a local conservation law of equation (2) with $B=\mathrm{const}$. According to Lemma 3 we look for its conservation laws in form

$$D_tF(t,x,u,v)+D_xG(t,x,u,v)=0.$$

First we expand this expression on the solution manifold of (17) and decompose it with respect to unconstrained derivatives of u. Coefficients of u_t and u_x give

$$F_u = 0, \quad G = -\lambda F_v \textstyle\int A + \hat{G}(t,x,v).$$

Substituting this to the rest of the conservation law we obtain a classifying equation for the components of the conserved vector:

$$F_t + F_v(\lambda h u - \lambda_x \textstyle\int A) - (\lambda F_v)_x \textstyle\int A + \hat{G}_x - \lambda^2 f F_{vv} u \textstyle\int A + \lambda f \hat{G}_v u = 0. \tag{18}$$

If $u\int A \notin \langle 1, u, \int A\rangle$ then

$$F_{vv} = 0, \quad -\lambda_x F_v - (\lambda F_v)_x = 0, \quad F_v h + f\hat{G}_v = 0, \quad F_t + \hat{G}_x = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$F = F^1(t,x)v + F^0(t,x), \quad \hat{G} = -\frac{h}{f}F^1 v + G^0(t,x).$$

Up to the usual equivalence of conserved vectors we can assume that $F^0(t,x) = G^0(t,x) = 0$.

Consider an equivalent conserved vector

$$\tilde{F} = F - D_x(\Phi(t,x)v), \quad \tilde{G} = G + D_t(\Phi(t,x)v),$$

where $\Phi_x(t,x) = F^1$. It is obvious that this conservation law is local for the equation (2), and moreover, it is trivial on the solution manifold of the potential system (17).

If $u\int A \in \langle 1, u, \int A\rangle$, then $u\int A = c_0 + c_1 u + c_2 \int A$. This is an algebraic equation for $u\int A$. Up to translations of u we can assume that $c_2 = 0$. Therefore $u\int A = c_0 + c_1 u$ or $A \in \{1, u^{-2}\}$ mod $G^{\sim}_{\rm pr}$. Since we consider only nonlinear equations, $A = u^{-2}$ is the only case where potential conservation laws can exist.

Consider now the general potential systems **5′**–**8′** on two potentials. Each of them has the form

$$v^i_x = \lambda^i f u, \quad v^i_t = \lambda^i(Au_x + hu) - \lambda^i_x \textstyle\int A, \quad i = 1,2, \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda^i = \lambda^i(t,x)$ are linearly independent characteristics of local conservation laws. Similarly to the case of simplest potential system we can prove that an arbitrary conservation law of system (19) has the form

$$D_t F(t,x,v^1,v^2) + D_x G(t,x,u,v^1,v^2) = 0,$$

where the flux $G = -\lambda^i F_{v^i}\int A + \hat{G}(t,x,v^1,v^2)$, and the classifying equation is the following

$$F_t + \hat{G}_x + u\lambda^i(hF_{v^i} + f\hat{G}_{v^i}) - \textstyle\int A(\lambda^i_x F_{v^i} + (\lambda^i F_{v^i})_x) - \lambda^i\lambda^j f F_{v^i v^j} u \textstyle\int A = 0. \tag{20}$$

Now, if $u\int A \notin \langle 1, u, \int A\rangle$ (in other words, $A \neq 1, u^{-2}$ mod $G^{\sim}_{\rm pr}$) we can split (18) with respect to the linearly independent functions of u and obtain

$$F_t + \hat{G}_x = 0, \quad \lambda^i(hF_{v^i} + f\hat{G}_{v^i}) = 0, \quad \lambda^i_x F_{v^i} + (\lambda^i F_{v^i})_x = 0, \quad \lambda^i\lambda^j f F_{v^i v^j} = 0.$$

The latter system implies, in particular, that

$$F = F^1(t,x,\omega)\theta + F^0(t,x,\omega), \quad \hat{G} = -\frac{h}{f}F^1\theta + G^0(t,x,\omega),$$
$$2F^1\lambda^j\lambda^j_x + \lambda^j\lambda^j F^1_\omega(\lambda^1_x v^2 - \lambda^2_x v^1) = -F^1_\omega(\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x)\theta - F^1\lambda^1\lambda^1_x - F^0_\omega(\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x),$$

where $\omega = \lambda^1 v^2 - \lambda^2 v^1$, $\theta = \lambda^1 v^1 + \lambda^2 v^2$. Decomposing $(\lambda^1_x v^2 - \lambda^2_x v^1)$ with respect to ω, θ and collecting the coefficients of θ in the last equation we obtain $2F^1_\omega(\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x) = 0$. One can easily check that for each of the systems **5′**–**8′** $\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x \neq 0$, therefore, $F^1_\omega = 0$ which implies immediately $F^0_{\omega\omega} = 0$. Thus, we get that the conserved density and, consequently, the conserved flux are linear with respect to the potentials. Therefore, in view of [6, 25], the conservation law is local for equation (2).

The lemma is completely proved. ☐

In view of Lemma 4, in order to construct potential conservation laws of equations (2), it is enough to investigate conservation laws of the potential systems **1**, **2** and cases $A = u^{-2}$ of systems **3**–**8′**. The chain of lemmas below describes completely the set of potential conservation laws of equations (2).

Lemma 5. *The list of $G^\sim_{\mathrm{pr}}$-inequivalent linearly independent conservation laws of system* **1** *is exhausted by the following ones*

$$\begin{array}{lll}
1. & \forall A,\ \int B = u\int A,\ f = 1: & D_t(e^v) + D_x(e^v\int A) = 0,\\
2. & A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = 1: & D_t(\sigma) + D_x(\sigma_v u^{-1}) = 0,\\
3. & A = 1,\ B = 2u,\ f = 1: & D_t(\alpha e^v) + D_x(\alpha_x e^v - \alpha u e^v) = 0,
\end{array}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(t,x)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(t,v)$ are arbitrary solutions of the backward linear heat equations $\alpha_t + \alpha_{xx} = 0$ and $\sigma_t + \sigma_{vv} = 0$, correspondingly.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3 we look for conservation laws of system **1** in form

$$D_t F(t,x,u,v) + D_x G(t,x,u,v) = 0.$$

Expanding the total derivatives in the expression for the conservation law and decomposing it with respect to unconstrained derivatives of u we get

$$F_u = 0, \quad G = -F_v \int A + \hat G(t,x,v),$$
$$F_t + F_v \int B - F_{vx}\int A + \hat G_x - fF_{vv}u\int A + \lambda f \hat G_v u = 0.$$

To integrate the latter equation one should consider separately three cases:

- $1, u, \int A, u\int A, \int B$ are linearly independent;
- $1, u, \int A, u\int A$ are linearly independent and $1, u, \int A, u\int A, \int B$ are linearly dependent;
- $u\int A \in \langle 1, u, \int A\rangle$.

All conservation laws in the first case are trivial. In the second case we have $\int B = c_0 + c_1 u + (c_2 u + c_3)\int A$. Substituting this into the classifying condition and solving it up to $G^\sim_{\mathrm{pr}}$ we obtain case 1 of the list of the lemma statement. At last, if $u\int A \in \langle 1, u, \int A\rangle$, then similarly to Lemma 4, $A \in \{1, u^{-2}\} \bmod G^\sim_{\mathrm{pr}}$ that leads after some obvious calculations to cases 2 and 3 of the lemma statement. ☐

Lemma 6. *System* **2** *has no nontrivial conservation laws.*

Proof. The proof is completely similar to the proof of Lemma 5. ☐

Lemma 7. *Systems* **3**, **4**, **6.1**–**8** *have no nontrivial conservation laws. There exists exactly one nontrivial conservation law for system* **5***:*

$$A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = x^{-2}: \qquad D_t(x^{-2}\sigma) + D_x(x^{-1}\sigma_v u^{-1}) = 0,$$

where $\sigma = \sigma(t,v)$ runs the solution set of the backward heat equation $\sigma_t + \sigma_{vv} = 0$.

Proof. Each of the systems **3**–**8** has the form (17). The conserved density and flux of the conservation law has the form

$$F = F(t,x,v), \quad G = -\lambda F_v \textstyle\int A + \hat{G}(t,x,v).$$

In view of Lemma 4 it is enough to consider case $A = u^{-2}$ only. Then (18) implies

$$\lambda_x F_v + (\lambda F_v)_x = 0, \quad \lambda h F_v + \lambda f \hat{G}_v = 0, \quad F_t + \hat{G}_x + \lambda^2 f F_{vv} = 0.$$

Therefore, up to the equivalence relation of the conserved vectors $F = \lambda^{-2}\sigma$, $\hat{G} = -\frac{h}{f}\lambda^{-2}\sigma$, where $\sigma = \sigma(t,v)$ satisfies the classifying equation

$$(\lambda^{-2}\sigma)_t - \left(\frac{h}{f}\lambda^{-2}\right)_x \sigma + f\sigma_{vv} = 0.$$

Integrating this equation for the given values of characteristics λ implies the lemma statement. □

Lemma 8. *The list of* $G^{\sim}_{\mathrm{pr}}$*-inequivalent linearly independent conservation laws of system* **5′** *is exhausted by the following ones*

1. $A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = 1: \qquad D_t(\sigma^1) + D_x(\sigma^1_{v^1}u^{-1}) = 0,$
2. $A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = x^{-2}: \qquad D_t(x^{-2}\sigma^2) + D_x(x^{-1}\sigma^2_{v^2}u^{-1}) = 0,,$

$\sigma^i = \sigma^i(t,v^i)$, $i = 1,2$ *run the solution sets of the backward heat equations* $\sigma^i_t + \sigma^i_{v^iv^i} = 0$ *correspondingly. Systems* **6′**–**8′** *have no nontrivial conservation laws.*

Note 9. These potential conservation laws of equations (2) indeed coincide with conservation laws of the simplest potential systems **1** and **5**.

Proof. Substituting $A = u^{-2}$ into the classifying condition (18) and splitting it with respect to the linearly independent functions of u we obtain the following system of determining equations for the coefficients of the conserved vectors of the conservation laws of systems (19):

$$\lambda^i(hF_{v^i} + f\hat{G}_{v^i}) = 0, \quad \lambda^i_x F_{v^i} + (\lambda^i F_{v^i})_x = 0, \quad F_t + \hat{G}_x + \lambda^i\lambda^j f F_{v^iv^j} = 0. \tag{21}$$

From the first equation of (21) we obtain that $\hat{G} = -\frac{h}{f}F + H(t,x,\omega)$. Here, as in Lemma 4, $\omega = \lambda^1 v^2 - \lambda^2 v^1$, $\theta = \lambda^1 v^1 + \lambda^2 v^2$.

It follows from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^1 v^2_x - \lambda^2 v^1_x = 0 = \omega_x - (\lambda^1_x v^2 - \lambda^2_x v^1),\\
&\lambda^1 v^2_t - \lambda^2 v^1_t = (\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x)u^{-1} = \omega_t - (\lambda^1_t v^2 - \lambda^2_t v^1).
\end{aligned}$$

Decomposing $\lambda^1_x v^2 - \lambda^2_x v^1$ and $\lambda^1_t v^2 - \lambda^2_t v^1$ with respect to (ω,θ) from the latter equations we get

$$\omega_x = \frac{\lambda^k_x\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega - \frac{\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\theta, \qquad \omega_t = \frac{\lambda^k_t\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega - \frac{\lambda^1\lambda^2_t - \lambda^2\lambda^1_t}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\theta + \frac{\lambda^1\lambda^2_x - \lambda^2\lambda^1_x}{u}.$$

These equalities allow us to find the simplest form of conserved vectors corresponding to the conservation law. For now we have $F = F(t,x,\omega,\theta)$, $G = \lambda^j\lambda^j F_\theta u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}F + H(t,x,\omega)$. An equivalent conserved vector can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde F = F + D_x\Phi(t,x,\omega) = F + \Phi_x + \Phi_\omega\omega_x,\\ &\tilde G = G - D_t\Phi(t,x,\omega) = \lambda^j\lambda^j F_\theta u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}F + H - \Phi_t - \Phi_\omega\omega_t =\\ &\lambda^j\lambda^j\tilde F_\theta u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}\tilde F + \Phi_\omega\theta\left(-\frac{h}{f}\frac{\lambda^1\lambda^2_x-\lambda^2\lambda^1_x}{\lambda^j\lambda^j} + \frac{\lambda^1\lambda^2_t-\lambda^2\lambda^1_t}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\right)\\ &+H + \frac{h}{f}\left(\Phi_x + \Phi_\omega\frac{\lambda^k_x\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega\right) - \Phi_t - \Phi_\omega\frac{\lambda^k_t\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega.\end{aligned}$$

For all of the characteristics corresponding to systems **5′**–**8′**, coefficients of $\Phi_\omega\theta$ in the expression for $\tilde G$ is vanishing. Therefore, choosing Φ in such way that

$$H + \frac{h}{f}\left(\Phi_x + \Phi_\omega\frac{\lambda^k_x\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega\right) - \Phi_t - \Phi_\omega\frac{\lambda^k_t\lambda^k}{\lambda^j\lambda^j}\omega = 0,$$

we obtain that $\tilde G = \lambda^j\lambda^j\tilde F_\theta u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}\tilde F$, i.e., $\tilde H = 0$. That is why, without lost of generality, we assume that

$$F = F(t,x,v^1,v^2),\quad G = \lambda^i\lambda^i F_\theta u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}F = \lambda^i F_{v^i}u^{-1} - \frac{h}{f}F,$$

where the conserved density F satisfies the following system:

$$\lambda^i_x F_{v^i} + (\lambda^i F_{v^i})_x = 0,\quad \lambda^i\lambda^j F_{v^iv^j} + \frac{F_t}{f} - \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{h}{f}F\right)_x = 0.$$

Taking into account its nontrivial differential consequence

$$\left(\frac{F_t}{f} - \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{h}{f}F\right)_x\right)_x = 0,$$

we can write the compatible system of determining equations for the conserved density F:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{F_t}{f} - \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{h}{f}F\right)_x = K(t,v^1,v^2),\quad \lambda^i\lambda^j F_{v^iv^j} + K = 0,\\ &\lambda^i_x F_{v^i} + (\lambda^i F_{v^i})_x = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

System **5′** is distinguished from the set of the systems (19) since we can assume $h = 0$. Let us investigate it separately. Substituting $h = 0$ and the characteristics $\lambda^1 = 1$, $\lambda^2 = x$ into (22) and taking its differential consequence, one can obtain

$$f_x K_{v^1} + (xf_x + 2f)K_{v^2} = 0.\tag{23}$$

There exist three different cases of integration of equation (23): f is arbitrary function such that f_x and $xf_x + 2f$ are linearly independent, $f_x = 0$ and $xf_x + 2f = cf_x$, where c is a constant.

If f_x and $xf_x + 2f$ are linearly independent then $K_{v^1} = K_{v^2} = 0$. Then, it follows from (22) that $K_t = 0$. Therefore, K is a constant, and without loss of generality we can assume $K \in \{0, 1\}$. If $K = 1$, then (22) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&F = ft + M(x,v^1,v^2),\quad M = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 + M^1(x,\omega)\theta + M^0(x,\omega),\\ &(\lambda^i M_{v^i})_x + \lambda^i_x M_{v^i} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting coefficients of θ in the last equation we get $-x\theta = 0$, that is contradiction. Therefore $K = 0$. Then $F_{v^i} = G_{v^i} = 0$, and the conservation law is local.

If $f_x = 0$ then $f = 1 \bmod \hat{G}^{\sim}$, $K_{v^2} = 0$. Then, from (22) we obtain $K_{v^1v^1} + K_t = 0$ and $F = \sigma^1(t, v^1) + M(x, v^1, v^2)$, where $K = \sigma^1_t = -\sigma^1_{v^1v^1}$. Substituting it into the second equation of (22) leads to the equation for M of form $\lambda^i\lambda^j M_{v^iv^j} = 0$. Therefore, $M = M^1(x,\omega)\theta + M^0(x,\omega)$, and M^1, M^0 satisfy the equation

$$M^0_\omega - x\omega M^1_\omega + M^1_x(x^2+1) + 3xM^1 = 0.$$

Considering equivalent conservation law with the conserves vector $\tilde{F} = F - D_x(N\theta)$, $\tilde{G} = G + D_t(N\theta)$, where $N_\omega(x,\omega) = M^1$ one can show that $\tilde{N} = 0$. Thus we get the conservation law from case 1 of the lemma statement.

If $xf_x + 2f = cf_x$, then up to translations of x we can assume that $c = 0$ and $f = x^{-2}$. The local transformation $\tilde{t} = t$, $\tilde{x} = -1/x$, $\tilde{u} = xu$, $\tilde{v}^1 = v^2$, $\tilde{v}^2 = v^1$ reduces this problem to the previous case $f = 1$.

Case $h \neq 0$ (systems **6′–8′**) can be investigated in a similar manner. At first, we find the differential consequence of system (22):

$$(\lambda^i f_x + 2\lambda^i_x f)K_{v^i} + F_{v^i}\left(\left(\frac{h}{f}\right)_{xx}\lambda^i + \frac{2^{\,i}}{\lambda_x}\left(\frac{h}{f}\right)_x + 2\lambda^i_{tx} - 2\lambda^i_{xx}\frac{h}{f}\right)$$
$$+F_{v^ix}\left(2\lambda^i\left(\frac{h}{f}\right)_x - \lambda^i_x\frac{h}{f} + \lambda^i_t\right) = 0.$$

Considering the given sets of characteristics for each of the systems **6′–8′** we obtain

$$(\lambda^i f_x + 2\lambda^i_x f)K_{v^i} = 0,$$

where $(\lambda^i f_x + 2\lambda^i_x f)$ are linearly independent. Therefore, $K_{v^i} = K_t = 0$. Absolutely similarly to case $h = 0$ we get that the conservation law is local.

The lemma is proved. □

As one can see, equation (2) admits nontrivial potential conservation laws of the first level iff it is linearizable or satisfies the conditions $f = h = 1$, $\int B = u\int A$. It is shown in [26] that such equations do not have potential conservation laws of the second level. Therefore, the following lemma is true.

Lemma 9. *Any equation of form* (2) *has no nontrivial second level potential conservation laws.*

This statement completes investigation of potential conservation laws of the variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations (2). Summarizing the above results, we can formulate the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *A complete set of $G^{\sim}_{\rm pr}$-inequivalent potential conservation laws of nonlinear equations* (2) *consists of the following ones:*

1. $\forall A,\ \int B = u\int A,\ f = 1:\qquad D_t(e^v) + D_x(e^v\int A) = 0,$
2. $A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = 1:\qquad D_t(\sigma) + D_x(\sigma_v u^{-1}) = 0,$
3. $A = 1,\ B = 2u,\ f = 1:\qquad D_t(\alpha e^v) + D_x(\alpha_x e^v - \alpha u e^v) = 0,$

where the potential variable $v(t,x)$ satisfies the potential system $v_x = u$, $v_t = Au_x + \int B$,

4. $A = u^{-2},\ B = 0,\ f = x^{-2}:\qquad D_t(x^{-2}\sigma) + D_x(x^{-1}\sigma_v u^{-1}) = 0,$

where $v_x = x^{-1}u$, $v_t = xu^{-2}u_x + u^{-1}$. Here $\alpha = \alpha(t,x)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(t,v)$ are arbitrary solutions of the backward heat equations $\alpha_t + \alpha_{xx} = 0$ and $\sigma_t + \sigma_{vv} = 0$, correspondingly,

11 Conclusion

In this paper we generalized the notion of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to groups of transformations and adduced description of generating sets of conservation laws. This framework is applied to investigation of conservation laws of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations. We classified the local conservation laws of equations (1) both up to the usual group of equivalence transformations and up to the extended group containing nonlocal (with respect to the arbitrary elements) transformations. Usage of equivalence of conservation laws with respect to the extended equivalence group and correct choice of gauge coefficients of equations allow us to obtain clear formulation of the final results.

The notions of contractions conservation laws and ones of characteristics of conservation laws are introduced. We presented some examples of such contractions in class (1). The problem of finding all possible contractions of equations and conservation laws in class (1) remains open.

The proposed view on equivalence of conservation laws give rise to a generalization of the theory of potential symmetries. Namely we showed how to find *all possible* inequivalent potential systems associated to the given system of differential equations. We classified such potential systems and potential conservation laws for equations (1).

Using this classification one can find all potential symmetries of class (1). This is a subject of the sequel part [16] of this series of papers.

Acknowledgements

NMI and ROP express their gratitude to the hospitality shown by University of Cyprus during their visits to the University. Research of NMI was supported by the Erwin Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics (Vienna, Austria) in form of Junior Fellowship and by the grant of the President of Ukraine for young scientists (project number GP/F11/0061). Research of ROP was supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Lise Meitner project M923-N13.

References

[1] Anco S.C. and Bluman G., Direct construction method for conservation laws of partial differential equations. I. Examples of conservation law classifications, *Eur. J. Appl. Math.*, 2002, V.13, Part 5, 545–566 (math-ph/0108023).

[2] Anco S.C. and Bluman G., Direct construction method for conservation laws of partial differential equations. II. General treatment, *Eur. J. Appl. Math.*, 2002, V.13, Part 5, 567–585 (math-ph/0108024).

[3] Atherton R.W., Homsy G.M. On the existence and formulation of variational principles for nonlinear differential equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 1975, V.54, 31–60.

[4] Błaczak M., Multi-Hamiltonian theory of of dynamical systems, Berlin, Springer, 1998.

[5] Bluman G. and Anco S.C., Symmetry and integration methods for differential equations, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, V.154, New-York, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[6] Bluman G., Cheviakov A.F. and Ivanova N.M., Framework for nonlocally related partial differential equation systems and nonlocal symmetries: Extension, simplification, and examples, *J. Math. Phys.*, 2006, V.47, 113505, 23 p.

[7] Bluman G. and Doran-Wu P., The use of factors to discover potential systems or linearizations. Geometric and algebraic structures in differential equations, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 1995, V.41, N 1–3, 21–43.

[8] Dorodnitsyn V.A. and Svirshchevskii S.R., On Lie–Bäcklund groups admitted by the heat equation with a source, Preprint N 101, Moscow, Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Academy of Sciences USSR, 1983.

[9] Ibragimov N.H., Transformation groups applied to mathematical physics, *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*, Dordrecht, D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1985.

[10] Ibragimov N.H. (Editor), Lie group analysis of differential equations — symmetries, exact solutions and conservation laws, V.1, Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1994.

[11] Ivanova N.M., Conservation laws and potential systems of diffusion–convection equations, *Proceedings of Fifth International Conference "Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics"* (23–29 June, 2003, Kyiv), Kyiv, Institute of Mathematics, 2004, Part 1, 149–153 (math-ph/0404025).

[12] Ivanova N., Conservation laws of multidimensional diffusion–convection equations, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 2007, V.49, 71-81 (math-ph/0604057).

[13] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Conservation laws of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations, *Proceedings of Tenth International Conference in Modern Group Analysis*, (Larnaca, Cyprus, 2004), 107–113.

[14] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations. I. Enhanced group classification 2007, to appear, arXiv:0710.2731.

[15] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations. II. Contractions and exact solutions, 2007, arXiv:0710.3049.

[16] Ivanova N.M., Popovych R.O. and Sophocleous C., Group analysis of variable coefficient diffusion–convection equations. IV. Potential symmetries, 2007, in preparation.

[17] Ivanova N.M. and Sophocleous C., On the group classification of variable coefficient nonlinear diffusion–convection equations, *J. Comp. and Appl. Math.*, 2006, V.197, 322–344.

[18] Kara A.H. and Mahomed F.M., A basis of conservation laws for partial differential equations, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 2002, V.9, 60–72.

[19] Khamitova R.S., The structure of a group and the basis of conservation laws, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 1982, V.52, N 2, 244–251.

[20] Kingston J.G. and Sophocleous C., On point transformations of a generalised Burgers equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 1991, V.155, 15–19.

[21] Kingston J.G. and Sophocleous C., On form-preserving point transformations of partial differential equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 1998, V.31, 1597–1619.

[22] Kingston J.G. and Sophocleous C., Symmetries and form-preserving transformations of one-dimensional wave equations with dissipation, *Int. J. Non-Lin. Mech.*, 2001, V.36, 987–997.

[23] Olver P., Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[24] Ovsiannikov L.V., Group analysis of differential equations, New York, Academic Press, 1982.

[25] Kunzinger M. and Popovych R.O., Essential characteristics of potential conservation laws, 2007, in preparation.

[26] Popovych R.O. and Ivanova N.M., Hierarchy of conservation laws of diffusion–convection equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 2005, V.46, 043502 (math-ph/0407008).

[27] Popovych R.O., Kunzinger M. and Ivanova N.M., Conservation laws and potential symmetries of linear parabolic equations, arXiv:0706.0443.

[28] Zharinov V.V., Conservation laws of evolution systems, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 1986, V.68, N 2, 163–171.